arXiv:1610.06030v2 [math.AP] 22 Nov 2016

OPTIMAL CONVERGENCE RATE OF NONRELATIVISTIC LIMIT FOR THE NONLINEAR PSEUDO-RELATIVISTIC EQUATIONS

WOOCHEOL CHOI, YOUNGHUN HONG, AND JINMYOUNG SEOK

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we are concerned with the nonrelativistic limit of the following pseudo-relativistic equation with Hartree nonlinearity or power type nonlinearity

$$\left(\sqrt{-\hbar^2c^2\Delta + m^2c^4} - mc^2\right)u + \mu u = \mathcal{N}(u),$$

where c denotes the speed of light. We prove that the ground states of this equation converges to the ground state of its nonrelativistic counterpart

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta u + \mu u = \mathcal{N}(u)$$

with an explicit convergence rate $1/c^2$ in arbitrary order as $c \to \infty$. Moreover, we show that this rate is optimal.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Setup of the problem.

We consider the pseudo-relativistic equation

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi = (\sqrt{-\hbar^2c^2\Delta + m^2c^4} - mc^2)\psi - \mathcal{N}(\psi), \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$\psi = \psi(t,x) : \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$$

is the wave function, $n \geq 1$ is the space dimension, $\hbar$ is the reduced Planck constant, $c > 0$ denotes the speed of light and $m > 0$ represents the particle mass. The operator $\sqrt{-\hbar^2c^2\Delta + m^2c^4}$ is defined as the Fourier multiplier with symbol $\sqrt{\hbar^2c^2|\xi|^2 + m^2c^4}$. The nonlinear term $\mathcal{N}(\psi)$ is assumed to be either of the power-type

$$\mathcal{N}(\psi) = |\psi|^{p-2}\psi$$

or of the Hartree-type

$$\mathcal{N}(\psi) = (|x|^{-1} * |\psi|^2)\psi.$$

The equation (1.1) is referred as the pseudo-relativistic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) (or nonlinear Hartree equation (NLH), respectively) when the nonlinearity is of the power- type (or the Hartree-type, respectively). Throughout the paper, we always assume that

$$n \geq 1 \text{ and } 2 < p < \tfrac{2n}{n-1}$$

(we set $\frac{2n}{n-1} = \infty$ when $n = 1$) for the power-type nonlinearity, which makes $\mathcal{N}(u)$ be $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ subcritical, while we assume that $n = 3$ when we refer $\mathcal{N}(u)$ to the Hartree nonlinearity.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35J60, 35J10.

This model is considered as a relativistic correction to the nonrelativistic counterpart, because by the Taylor series expansion of the symbol

$$\sqrt{\hbar^2c^2|\xi|^2+m^2c^4}-mc^2=mc^2\Big(\sqrt{1+\frac{\hbar^2|\xi|^2}{m^2c^2}}-1\Big)=\frac{\hbar^2|\xi|^2}{2m}-\frac{\hbar^4|\xi|^4}{8m^3c^2}+\cdots,$$

the nonrelativistic equation

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi=-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\psi-\mathcal{N}(\psi) \tag{1.2}$$

formally approximates the equation (1.1) in the nonrelativistic regime

$$|\mathbf{p}|=\hbar|\xi|\ll mc.$$

Physically, the equation (1.1) is derived as a mean-field limit of a system of identical relativistic spin-0 bosons. It also provides a good approximation to the Bethe-Salpeter formalism [4, 33]. In particular, the dynamics of boson stars is described by the three-dimensional pseudo-relativistic NLH, namely the *boson star equation*. We refer [8, 21, 22] for rigorous derivation and [14, 16] for the rate of convergence toward the mean-field limit. Because of the theoretical and experimental importance of the object, the dynamical study on the boson star equation also has been received a great attention past years [10, 11, 12, 13, 17, 19, 32].

For consistency of the theory, an important question is whether the limit of a sequence of solutions to (1.1) as $\frac{mc}{\hbar}\to\infty$, called the *nonrelativistic limit* if it exists, indeed solves the nonrelativistic equation. This kind of problem has been investigated in various physical settings [1, 2, 9, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 35]. A particular interest is on the limit along special solutions such as ground state solutions [5, 9, 18] and scattering solutions [31].

In this paper, we are concerned with the limiting procedure of ground states for the pseudo-relativistic equation (1.1). To introduce the ground states, we insert a standing wave ansatz $\psi(t,x)=e^{i\frac{\mu}{\hbar}t}u(x)$ in (1.1) and (1.2) to have the time-independent pseudo-relativistic NLS (or NLH)

$$(\sqrt{-\hbar^2c^2\Delta+m^2c^4}-mc^2)u+\mu u=\mathcal{N}(u), \tag{1.3}$$

and the time-independent nonrelativistic NLS (or NLH)

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta u+\mu u=\mathcal{N}(u). \tag{1.4}$$

From here, we normalize the equations and assume that $m=\frac{1}{2}$, $\mu=1$ and $c\to\infty$ for simplicity of the exposition. That is, we proceed with the pseudo-relativistic NLS (or NLH) of the form,

$$P_c(D)u=\mathcal{N}(u), \tag{1.5}$$

where $P_c(D)$ is the pseudo-differential operator with symbol

$$P_c(\xi)=\sqrt{c^2|\xi|^2+\tfrac{1}{4}c^4}-\tfrac{c^2}{2}+1, \tag{1.6}$$

and the nonrelativistic NLS (or NLH) of the form,

$$-\Delta u+u=\mathcal{N}(u). \tag{1.7}$$

Indeed, the pseudo-relativistic equation is not scaling-invariant, so normalization may change the parameter c. However, since we take the nonrelativistic limit ($\frac{mc}{\hbar} \to \infty$), it will not affect our main result.

Now we state the notion of ground states and relevant results. In the nonrelativistic case, we associate the equation (1.7) with the functional

$$I_\infty(u) := \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{N}(u)\bar{u}dx, \qquad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{1.8}$$

where $p = 4$ for the Hartree nonlinearity. Then, we say that a critical point $u_\infty \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the functional I_∞ is a *ground state* to (1.4) if

$$I_\infty(u_\infty) = \min\Big\{I_\infty(v) \mid v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\},\, I'_\infty(v) = 0\Big\},$$

where I'_∞ denotes the Fréchet derivative of I_∞. Existence of such a ground state is a classical result, see Berestycki-Lions [3] for NLS, and Lieb [20] for NLH for instance. Its uniqueness and nondegeneracy are established by Kwong [15] for NLS, and Lenzmann [18] for NLH in three dimensions ($n = 3$). Similarly, in the pseudo-relativistic case, we define the functional by

$$\begin{aligned} I_c(u) :&= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_c(D)u\overline{u}dx - \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{N}(u)\bar{u}dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\sqrt{c^2|\xi|^2 + \tfrac{c^4}{4}} - \tfrac{c^2}{2})|\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi - \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{N}(u)\bar{u}dx, \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

where $\hat{u}$ denotes the Fourier transform of u and p is again chosen to be 4 for the Hartree nonlinearity. Note that I_c is continuously differentiable on $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its critical points are weak solutions of (1.3) and vice versa. We again say a critical point $u_c \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the functional I_c is a *ground state* to (1.3) if it satisfies

$$I_c(u_c) = \min\Big\{I_c(v) \mid v \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\},\, I'_c(v) = 0\Big\}.$$

Such a ground state is known to exist in [22] for NLH and Choi-Seok [5] for NLS. See also [7, 34, 30]. The uniqueness of a ground state for NLH is shown by Lenzmann in [18] when the parameter c is sufficiently large by taking advantage of the nondegeneracy of the ground state of the nonrelativistic NLH, which is proved by himself in [18] as mentioned earlier. One also can deduce the uniqueness of a ground state for NLS at least for large c from the nondegeneracy of a ground state of nonrelativistic NLS.

By using the strong maximum principle, it can be shown that both pseudo-relativistic and nonrelativistic ground states have only one sign so we may assume they are strictly positive. Therefore, with abuse of notation, we omit the absolute value sign in the nonlinear term:

$$\mathcal{N}(u) := \begin{cases} \Big(\dfrac{1}{|x|} * u^2\Big)u & \text{(Hartree nonlinearity)} \\ u^{p-1} & \text{(power-type nonlinearity).} \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

Concerning the nonrelativistic limit, by Lenzmann [18] for NLH and by Choi-Seok [5] for NLS, it is proved that a family of ground states of (1.3) converges to the ground state of (1.4). The exact statement is as the following.

Theorem 1.1 (H^1 convergence [5, 18])**.** *Suppose that $n = 3$ for NLH and $2 < p < \frac{2n}{n-1}$, $n \geq 1$ for NLS. Then, for each $c \geq 1$, a positive radially symmetric ground state solution u_c of (1.5) belongs to $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, the sequence $\{u_c\}_{c\geq 1}$ converges to the unique positive radially symmetric ground state solution u_∞ of (1.7) as $c \to \infty$ in the sense that*

$$\lim_{c\to\infty} \|u_c - u_\infty\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0.$$

Remark 1.2. In fact, the existence of a ground state and its H^1 convergence for NLS are proved in [5] only when $n \geq 2$. One can however obtain ground states for $n = 1$ by arguing similarly to [5] but adopting the concentration compactness argument [23] instead of using compact embedding $H_r^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is not true when $n = 1$. Here, $H_r^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the set of all radial functions in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

1.2. **Statement of the main result.** In this paper, we further exploit the nonrelativistic limit of a pseudo-relativistic ground state in the earlier works by Lenzmann [18] and Choi and Seok [5]. Precisely, we find the explicit convergence rate of ground states, in terms of $c > 1$, in an arbitrarily high order Sobolev norm. In addition, we show that this rate is optimal.

Namely, the main result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.3 (Nonrelativistic limit for NLS/NLH)**.** *Suppose that $n = 3$ for NLH and $n \geq 1$, $p \in (2, \frac{2n}{n-1}) \cap \mathbb{N}$ for NLS. Let u_c be a positive radially symmetric ground state of (1.5), and let u_∞ be the unique positive radially symmetric ground state of (1.7). Then u_c belongs to $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $s \geq 1$, and moreover there exist positive constants A and B such that*

$$\frac{A}{c^2} \leq \|u_c - u_\infty\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{B}{c^2}, \tag{1.11}$$

where A and B depend only on s for the Hartree nonlinearity and s, n, p for the power-type nonlinearity.

Remark 1.4.

(1) Scaling back to the original equations (1.3) and (1.4), we obtain the optimal $O((\frac{mc}{\hbar})^{-2})$ rate of convergence to the non-relativistic ground state.

(2) The power-type nonlinearity u^{p-1} admits integer powers in the following cases:

$$\begin{cases} n = 1 \text{ and } p \geq 3 \text{ is integer,} \\ n = 2 \text{ and } p = 3. \end{cases} \tag{1.12}$$

(3) In the statement of our main theorem, the NLS with fractional power nonlinearity is excluded, because in this case, even the limit u_∞ is not known to be contained in a higher order Sobolev space H^s due to the lack of differentiability of u^{p-1}.

We report without a proof that when p is fractional, it is possible to obtain the $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ convergence with a weaker $O(1/c)$ rate by a simple modification of the proof of Theorem 1.3.

To the best of authors' knowledge, Theorem 1.3 seems the first result showing the explicit convergence rates in a high Sobolev norm for the nonrelativistic limit of ground states. For achieving this, more refined information on the ground state of the limit equation (1.7) is required. Namely, we exploit carefully the non-degeneracy of the limit solution u_∞ of problem (1.7). We recall that a solution $u_\infty \in H^1_r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of (1.4) is said to be non-degenerate in radial class if the linearized equation of (1.4) at u_∞,

$$L_{u_\infty}[\phi] := -\Delta\phi + \phi - \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty)\phi = 0,$$

only admits the trivial solution $\phi = 0$ on the class $H^1_r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This says that the second eigenvalue of the linearized operator L_{u_∞} is strictly positive so there exists a small constant $c > 0$ satisfying

$$c\|\phi\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla\phi|^2 + \phi^2 - \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty)\phi^2\, dx$$

for every $\phi \in H^1_r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ orthogonal to u_∞ in H^1. We will see that this inequality provides with a crucial estimate for proving our main theorem.

Our proof is rather systematic, and it does not depend highly on the structure of the equation (1.5). Instead, it relies only on sub-criticality of the equations (for a high Sobolev norm convergence) and non-degeneracy of the ground state solution to the limiting equation (for the rate of convergence). We believe that it can be easily adapted to other physical models.

1.3. **Organization of the paper.** In Section 2, as a preliminary, we prove the iterated nonlinear estimates (Proposition 2.1 and 2.3) to handle the $H^{1/2}$-subcritical nonlinearities. In Section 3, we apply the iterated estimates to obtain a high Sobolev norm uniform bound on the pseudo-relativistic ground state u_c (Proposition 3.2). In Section 4, we prove the sharp upper and lower estimates for the nonrelativistic limit in the space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The convergence norm is improved in Section 5 to the Sobolev space of any order. In the appendices, for the reader's convenience, we summarize the basic properties of the Lorentz spaces and the fractional Leibniz rule.

Notation. We shall use the notation $A \lesssim B$ (resp., $A \gtrsim B$) when $A \le CB$ (resp., $A \ge CB$) holds with a constant $C > 1$ not depending on the parameter $c > 1$. Also, we shall denote $A \sim B$ if both $A \lesssim B$ and $A \gtrsim B$ hold.

1.4. **Acknowledgement.** This research of the first and third authors was supported by the POSCO TJ Park Science Fellowship. This research of the second author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2015R1A5A1009350). This research of the third author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through

the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2014R1A1A2054805).

2. Iterated nonlinear estimates

We prove the iterated multi-linear estimates in the Sobolev space H^s, where H^s is the Sobolev space with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \Big\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|\xi|^2)^s |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \Big\}^{1/2}.$$

For the Hartree nonlinearity, we show the following iterated trilinear estimates.

Proposition 2.1 (Iterated estimate for the Hartree nonlinearity)**.** *There exists a strictly increasing sequence* $\{s_0\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$*, with* $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ *and* $s_k \to \infty$*, such that*

$$\Big\| \Big(\frac{1}{|x|} * (v_1 v_2) \Big) v_3 \Big\|_{H^{s_{k+1}-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^{3} \|v_j\|_{H^{s_k}(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{2.1}$$

The proposition is immediately deduced from the elementary trilinear estimate with $s_k := k + \frac{1}{2}$.

Lemma 2.2 (Trilinear estimate for the Hartree nonlinearity)**.**

$$\Big\| \Big(\frac{1}{|x|} * (v_1 v_2) \Big) v_3 \Big\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^{3} \|v_j\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad \forall s \geq \tfrac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. By the fractional Leibniz rule, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\| \Big(\frac{1}{|x|} * (v_1 v_2) \Big) v_3 \Big\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} &= \Big\| \langle \nabla \rangle^s \Big(\frac{1}{|x|} * (v_1 v_2) \Big) v_3 \Big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\lesssim \Big\| \frac{1}{|x|} * (v_1 v_2) \Big\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v_3\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\quad + \Big\| |\nabla|^s \Big(\frac{1}{|x|} * (v_1 v_2) \Big) \Big\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v_3\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Hölder and Sobolev inequalities in the Lorentz spaces,

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\| \frac{1}{|x|} * (v_1 v_2) \Big\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v_3\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\lesssim \|v_1 v_2\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2},1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v_3\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\lesssim \|v_1\|_{L^{3,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v_2\|_{L^{3,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v_3\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\lesssim \prod_{j=1}^{3} \|v_j\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\| |\nabla|^s \Big(\frac{1}{|x|} * (v_1 v_2) \Big) \Big\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v_3\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&= \Big\| \frac{1}{|x|} * |\nabla|^s (v_1 v_2) \Big\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v_3\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\lesssim \| |\nabla|^s (v_1 v_2) \|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v_3\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\lesssim \Big(\|v_1\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v_2\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|v_1\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v_2\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} \Big) \|v_3\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\lesssim \prod_{j=1}^{3} \|v_j\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the first inequality, we used the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality. Therefore, we obtain the trilinear estimate in the lemma. □

We prove an analogous iterated estimate for the integer-power nonlinearity.

Proposition 2.3 (Iterated estimate for the integer-power nonlinearity)**.** *Suppose that $p \in (2, \frac{2n}{n-1})$ is an integer. Then, there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\{s_0\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, with $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $s_k \to \infty$, such that*

$$\Big\| \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} v_j \Big\|_{H^{s_{k+1}-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \|v_j\|_{H^{s_k}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.2}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 2.1, we show the proposition using the multi-linear estimates.

Lemma 2.4 (Multi-linear estimates for the power-type nonlinearity)**.** *Suppose that $p \in (2, \frac{2n}{n-1})$ is an integer.*
(i) If $\frac{1}{2} \le s < \frac{n}{2}$ ($\Rightarrow n \ge 2$), then

$$\Big\| \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} v_j \Big\|_{H^{(p-1)s - \frac{n(p-2)}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \|v_j\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

(ii) For any small $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\Big\| \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} v_j \Big\|_{H^{\frac{n-\epsilon}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \|v_j\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

(iii) If $s > \frac{n}{2}$, then

$$\Big\| \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} v_j \Big\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \|v_j\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. We prove the lemma using the Sobolev and Hölder inequalities and the fractional Leibniz rule as in the proof of Lemma 2.2. For (i), when $(p-1)s-\frac{n(p-2)}{2}\le 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\prod_{j=1}^{p-1}v_j\Big\|_{H^{(p-1)s-\frac{n(p-2)}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \Big\|\prod_{j=1}^{p-1}v_j\Big\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{(p-1)(n-2s)}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim \prod_{j=1}^{p-1}\|v_j\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim \prod_{j=1}^{p-1}\|v_j\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

When $(p-1)s-\frac{n(p-2)}{2}\le 0$, by fractional Leibniz rule,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\prod_{j=1}^{p-1}v_j\Big\|_{H^{(p-1)s-\frac{n(p-2)}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1}\prod_{j=1,j\neq\ell}^{p-1}\|v_j\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|v_\ell\|_{W^{(p-1)s-\frac{n(p-2)}{2},\frac{2n}{n-(n-2s)(p-2)}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1}\prod_{j=1}^{p-1}\|v_j\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^{p-1}\|v_j\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Here, we used that

$$\begin{aligned}n-(n-2s)(p-2) &> n-(n-2s)\cdot(\tfrac{2n}{n-1}-2) = n-(n-2s)\cdot(\tfrac{2}{n-1}) \quad (\text{by } p<\tfrac{2n}{n-1})\\ &\ge n-(n-1)\cdot\tfrac{2}{n-1} = n-2\ge 0 \quad (\text{by } n\ge 2).\end{aligned}$$

For (ii), by the fractional Leibniz rule and the Sobolev embedding $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)\hookrightarrow H^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $2\le q<\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\prod_{j=1}^{p-1}v_j\Big\|_{H^{\frac{n-\epsilon}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \Big\|\langle\nabla\rangle^{\frac{n-\epsilon}{2}}\prod_{j=1}^{p-1}v_j\Big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1}\prod_{j=1,j\neq\ell}^{p-1}\|v_j\|_{L^{\frac{2n(p-2)}{\epsilon}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|v_\ell\|_{W^{\frac{n-\epsilon}{2},\frac{2n}{n-\epsilon}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|^{p-1}_{H^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

For (iii), we just use the Sobolev embedding $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\hookrightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to prove

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\prod_{j=1}^{p-1}v_j\Big\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \Big\|\langle\nabla\rangle^s\prod_{j=1}^{p-1}v_j\Big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1}\prod_{j=1,j\neq\ell}^{p-1}\|v_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|v_\ell\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|^{p-1}_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 2.3. We define $s_1, \cdots, s_K$ by the recursive formula

$$s_{k+1} := 1 - \tfrac{n(p-2)}{2} + (p-1)s_k,$$

equivalently $s_k = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{p-2} + (\frac{1}{p-2} - \frac{n-1}{2}) \cdot (p-1)^k$, where K is the first integer making $s_K > \frac{n}{2}$. Note that since p is assumed to be contained in the $H^{1/2}$-subcritical range $(2, \frac{2n}{n-1})$, the coefficient $\frac{1}{p-2} - \frac{n-1}{2}$ is strictly positive. Hence, such a K exists. Here, if $s_{K-1} = \frac{n}{2}$, then replacing s_{K-1} and S_K by slightly less numbers, we may assume that $s_{K-1} < \frac{n}{2} < s_K$. For $k \geq K$, we define

$$s_{k+1} := s_k + 1.$$

By construction, $s_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. The inequality (2.2) can be proved by Lemma 2.4 (i) when $j \leq K-1$ ($\Rightarrow s_j < \frac{n}{2}$), while it can be proved by Lemma 2.4 (ii) or (iii) when $j \geq K$ ($\Rightarrow s_j \geq \frac{n}{2}$). □

3. A uniform higher Sobolev norm bound on the ground state

We denote by u_c a ground state solution to the pseudo-relativistic NLS (or NLH),

$$P_c(D)u = \mathcal{N}(u),$$

where $P_c(D) = \sqrt{-c^2\Delta + \frac{1}{4}c^4} - \frac{c^2}{2} + 1$ and the nonlinearity is given by (1.10). We recall that the ground state u_c is uniformly bounded in $H^{1/2}$. See Lemma 3 in Lenzmann [18] for NLH and Lemma 5.2 in Choi-Seok [5] for NLS with $n \geq 2$. Arguing similarly to [5], this still holds for NLS with $n = 1$.

Lemma 3.1 (Uniform $H^{1/2}$-bound)**.**

$$\sup_{c \geq 1} \|u_c\|_{H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

The purpose of this section is to show that the low Sobolev norm uniform bound in Lemma 3.1 can be upgraded to a higher Sobolev norm uniform bound.

Proposition 3.2 (Uniform high Sobolev norm bound)**.**

$$\sup_{c \geq 1} \|u_c\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty, \quad \forall s \geq \tfrac{1}{2}.$$

Proposition 3.2 improves the H^1-uniform bound for NLH in [18] and that for NLS in [5]. Although we believe that this can be obtained by further developing the method employed in [18, 5], we shall not follow this approach. Instead, we pay attention to a key observation that the symbol of the linear part of the equation has a uniform lower bound (Lemma 3.3), and therefore we may apply a rather general elliptic regularity theorem (Theorem 3.5) for the desired high Sobolev norm bound. We found that this approach is algebraically simpler in particular when s is large.

3.1. **Uniform bound on the symbol.** The pseudo-relativistic NLS (or NLH) contains the differential operator $P_c(D)$ on the left hand side, whose symbol is

$$P_c(\xi) = \sqrt{c^2|\xi|^2 + \tfrac{1}{4}c^4} - \tfrac{c^2}{2} + 1.$$

This symbol obeys a uniform lower bound of order 1.

Lemma 3.3 (Uniform lower bound on the symbol P_c)**.** *There exists a constant $K > 0$ such that*

$$P_c(\xi) \geq K\langle\xi\rangle, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof. Factoring out $\frac{c^4}{4}$ from the square root term in the symbol, we write

$$P_c(\xi) = \frac{c^2}{2}\Big(\sqrt{1 + \Big|\frac{2\xi}{c}\Big|^2} - 1\Big) + 1 = \frac{c^2}{2} \cdot f\big(|\tfrac{2\xi}{c}|^2\big) + 1,$$

where

$$f(t) := \sqrt{1+t} - 1.$$

By the first degree Taylor expansion, if $0 \leq t \leq 4$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) :&= \sqrt{1+t} - 1 = f(0) + f'(t_*)t \quad \text{for some } t_* \in [0,4] \\ &= \frac{t}{2\sqrt{1+t_*}} \geq \frac{t}{2\sqrt{5}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $|\xi| \leq c$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P_c(\xi) &= \frac{c^2}{2}\Big(\sqrt{1 + \Big|\frac{2\xi}{c}\Big|^2} - 1\Big) + \mu = \frac{c^2}{2} f\big(|\tfrac{2\xi}{c}|^2\big) + \mu \\ &\geq \frac{c^2}{\sqrt{5}}\Big|\frac{2\xi}{c}\Big|^2 + 1 \geq \frac{4|\xi|^2}{\sqrt{5}} + 1 \gtrsim \langle\xi\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $|\xi| \geq c$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_c(\xi) &= c|\xi|\sqrt{1 + \Big(\frac{c}{2|\xi|}\Big)^2} - \frac{c^2}{2} + 1 \geq c|\xi| - \frac{c^2}{2} + 1 \\ &= c|\xi|\Big(1 - \frac{c}{2|\xi|}\Big) + 1 \geq \frac{c|\xi|}{2} + 1 \geq \frac{|\xi|}{2} + 1 \geq \frac{\langle\xi\rangle}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.4. By Lemma 3.3, one may formally say that $P_c(D)^{-1} \lesssim \langle\nabla\rangle^{-1}$, or $\frac{\langle\nabla\rangle}{P_c(D)} \lesssim 1$. However, rigorously, these formal inequalities make sense only as an operator on the L^2-based Sobolev space H^s. Indeed, the symbol $\frac{\langle\xi\rangle}{P_c(\xi)}$ is not a Mikhlin multiplier, because the derivative of the symbol $\frac{\langle\xi\rangle}{P_c(\xi)}$ is not bounded uniformly in $c \geq 1$. Thus, it is not necessary that $\frac{\langle\nabla\rangle}{P_c(D)}$ is bounded on L^p for $p \geq 2$.

3.2. **Elliptic regularity.** Now we consider a general class of nonlinear elliptic equations of the form

$$P(D)u = \mathcal{N}(u), \tag{3.1}$$

where $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, the differential operator $P(D)$ is the multiplier operator with the symbol $P : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, i.e.,

$$\widehat{P(D)u}(\xi) = P(\xi)\widehat{u}(\xi),$$

and the nonlinear term is given by (1.10).

The following theorem asserts that an $H^{1/2}$-solution to (3.1) can be upgraded to an H^s-solution for any $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and moreover its higher Sobolev norm bound depends only on the order and the $H^{1/2}$ Sobolev norm bound.

Theorem 3.5 (Elliptic regularity)**.** *Suppose that*

$$P(\xi) \geq K\langle\xi\rangle. \tag{3.2}$$

Let u be a solution of (3.1) *satisfying*

$$\|u\|_{H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq B < \infty.$$

Then,

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_s, \quad \forall s \geq \tfrac{1}{2}, \tag{3.3}$$

where the constant C_s depend only on s, n, B, K (and p).

Proof. By interpolation, it suffices to show that there exists a sequence $\{s_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, with $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $s_k \to \infty$, such that (3.3) holds for $s = s_k$. Indeed, if the sequence $\{s_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is taken from Proposition 2.1 (resp., 2.3) for the Hartree nonlinearity (resp., the integer-power nonlinearity), then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{H^{s_{k+1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \|P(D)^{-1}\mathcal{N}(u)\|_{H^{s_{k+1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{(by (3.1))} \\
&\lesssim_K \|\mathcal{N}(u)\|_{H^{s_{k+1}-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{(by (3.2))} \\
&= \begin{cases} \Big\| \Big(\dfrac{1}{|x|} * u^2 \Big) u \Big\|_{H^{s_{k+1}-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u\|^3_{H^{s_k}(\mathbb{R}^3)} & \text{(by Proposition 2.1)} \\ \|u^{p-1}\|_{H^{s_{k+1}-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u\|^{p-1}_{H^{s_k}(\mathbb{R}^n)} & \text{(by Proposition 2.3),} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

depending on the nonlinearity. Iterating this inequality from $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, we complete the proof. □

3.3. **Proof of Proposition 3.2.** Since the set of symbols $\{P_c\}_{c\geq 1}$ enjoys the uniform lower bound as in Lemma 3.3, we may apply Theorem 3.5 to obtain the desired uniform high Sobolev norm bound.

4. The sharp convergence rate

We denote by $u_\infty \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the positive radial ground state solution to

$$-\Delta u + u = \mathcal{N}(u), \tag{4.1}$$

and let $u_c \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a family of positive radial ground state solutions to

$$P_c(D)u = \Big(\sqrt{-c^2\Delta + \tfrac{c^4}{4}} - \tfrac{c^2}{2}\Big)u_c + u_c = \mathcal{N}(u), \tag{4.2}$$

such that u_c converges u_∞ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $c \to \infty$.

In this section, we obtain the optimal $O(\frac{1}{c^2})$-rate convergence of u_c to the nonrelativistic limit u_∞ in the $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ space.

Proposition 4.1 (Rate of convergence)**.** *Assume that the nonlinearity $\mathcal{N}(u)$ is either of the Hartree-type or of the power-type $|u|^{p-2}u$ with $p \in (2, \frac{n-1}{2n}) \cap \mathbb{N}$. Then,*

$$\|u_c - u_\infty\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\right). \tag{4.3}$$

The key ingredient of the proof of Proposition 4.1 is the non-degeneracy of the linearized operator

$$\mathcal{L} := -\Delta + 1 - \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty)$$

for radial functions. Here the mapping $\mathcal{N}'(u_\infty) : H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \mapsto H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{N}'(u_\infty)v = \begin{cases} \Big(\frac{1}{|x|} * u_\infty^2\Big)v + 2u_\infty\Big(\frac{1}{|x|} * (u_\infty v)\Big) & \text{(for NLH)} \\ (p-1)u_\infty^{p-2}\, v & \text{(for NLS).} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.2 (Non-degeneracy [15, 18])**.** *There exists a small $d > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla v|^2 + v^2 - \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty)v^2\, dx \geq d\|v\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for every radial $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\langle u, v\rangle_{H^1} = 0$.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. For the difference $w := u_c - u_\infty$, we do algebraic manipulations with the equations for u_c and u_∞,

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta + 1)w \cdot w\ dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta + 1)(u_c - u_\infty) \cdot w\ dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big\{(-\Delta + 1 - P_c(D))u_c + P_c(D)u_c - (-\Delta + 1)u_\infty\Big\} \cdot w\ dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big\{(-\Delta + 1 - P_c(D))u_c + \mathcal{N}(u_c) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty)\Big\} \cdot w\ dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big\{(-\Delta + 1 - P_c(D))u_c + \mathcal{N}(u_\infty + w) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty)\Big\} \cdot w\ dx. \end{aligned}$$

Taking out the first degree Taylor approximation from $\mathcal{N}(u_\infty + w) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty)$, that is, $\mathcal{N}'(u_\infty)w$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\|w\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta + 1 - P_c(D))u_c \cdot w \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty)w \cdot w \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big\{\mathcal{N}(u_\infty + w) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty)w\Big\} \cdot w \, dx.\end{aligned}$$

Then, moving the second integral to the left,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{L}w \cdot w \, dx = &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta + 1 - P_c(D))u_c \cdot w \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big\{\mathcal{N}(u_\infty + w) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty)w\Big\} \cdot w \, dx,\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}v &= (-\Delta + 1 - \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty))v \\ &= \begin{cases} (-\Delta + 1)v - \Big(\dfrac{1}{|x|} * u_\infty^2\Big)v - 2u_\infty\Big(\dfrac{1}{|x|} * (u_\infty v)\Big) & \text{(Hartree nonlinearity)} \\ (-\Delta + 1 - (p-1)u_\infty^{p-2})v & \text{(power-type nonlinearity)}, \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

is the linearized operator around the ground state u_∞. For both nonlinearities,

$$\mathcal{L}u_\infty = (-\Delta + 1)u_\infty - (p-1)\mathcal{N}(u_\infty) = -(p-2)(-\Delta + 1)u_\infty, \tag{4.5}$$

where $p = 4$ for the Hartree nonlinearity.

For the first integral on the right hand side of (4.4), by Cauchy-Schwartz,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta + 1 - P_c(D))u_c \cdot w \, dx \le \big\|(-\Delta + 1 - P_c(D))u_c\big\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|w\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We claim that

$$\big\|(-\Delta + 1 - P_c(D))u_c\big\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\right). \tag{4.6}$$

Indeed, by the Plancherel theorem and the high Sobolev norm uniform bound (Proposition 3.2),

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|(-\Delta + 1 - P_c(D))u_c\big\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}\Big\|\frac{1}{\langle\xi\rangle}\Big((|\xi|^2 + 1) - P_c(\xi)\Big)\widehat{u}_c(\xi)\Big\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}\Big\|\frac{1}{\langle\xi\rangle}O\left(\frac{|\xi|^4}{c^2}\right)\widehat{u}_c(\xi)\Big\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\le O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\right)\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}\big\|\langle\xi\rangle^3\widehat{u}_c(\xi)\big\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\right)\|u_c\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^n)} = O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the claim (4.6), we prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta + 1 - P_c(D))u_c \cdot w \, dx = O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\right)\|w\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.7}$$

For the second integral on the right hand side of (4.4), by the Hölder and Sobolev inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big\{\mathcal{N}(u_\infty + w) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty)w\Big\} \cdot w \, dx \\
&\leq \big\|\mathcal{N}(u_\infty + w) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty)w\big\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|w\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim \big\|\mathcal{N}(u_\infty + w) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty)w\big\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|w\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $p = 4$ for the Hartree nonlinearity. We claim that

$$\big\|\mathcal{N}(u_\infty + w) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty)w\big\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = O\left(\|w\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right). \tag{4.8}$$

Indeed, for the polynomial nonlinearity, by the Hölder and Sobolev inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\|(u_\infty + w)^{p-1} - u_\infty^{p-1} - (p-1)u_\infty^{p-2}w\Big\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&= \Big\| \sum_{k=0}^{p-3} \frac{(p-1)!}{k!(p-1-k)!} u_\infty^k w^{p-k-1} \Big\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{p-3} \frac{(p-1)!}{k!(p-1-k)!} \|u_\infty\|^k_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|w|^{p-k-1}_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{p-3} \frac{(p-1)!}{k!(p-1-k)!} \|u_\infty\|^k_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|w\|^{p-k-1}_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim \|w\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for the Hartree nonlinearity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{N}(u_\infty + w) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty)w \\
&= (|x|^{-1} * |u_\infty + w|^2)(u_\infty + w) \\
&\quad - (|x|^{-1} * |u_\infty|^2)u_\infty + 2(|x|^{-1} * (u_\infty w))u_\infty + (|x|^{-1} * u_\infty^2)w \\
&= (|x|^{-1} * w^2)u_\infty + 2(|x|^{-1} * (u_\infty w))w + (|x|^{-1} * w^2)w.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

To estimate this, we use the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality with the Sobolev embedding to find the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\||x|^{-1}(fg)h\|_{L^{4/3}(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq \||x|^{-1}(fg)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\leq \|fg\|_{L^{12/11}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\leq \|f\|_{L^{24/11}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f\|_{L^{24/11}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\leq C\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|g\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|h\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By applying this inequality to (4.9), we prove the claim for the Hartree nonlinearity,

$$\|\mathcal{N}(u_\infty + w) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty)w\|_{L^{4/3}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\|u_\infty\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|w\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + C\|w\|^3_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Therefore, by the claim (4.8), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big\{\mathcal{N}(u_\infty + w) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty)w\Big\} \cdot w \, dx = O\left(\|w\|^3_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right). \tag{4.10}$$

Going back to (4.4), by (4.7) and (4.10), we prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{L}w \cdot w \, dx = O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\right) \|w\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + O\left(\|w\|^3_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right). \tag{4.11}$$

Now, in order to make use of the non-degeneracy of the linearized operator $\mathcal{L}$ in (4.11), we decompose

$$w = \lambda u_\infty + v \quad \text{with } \langle v, u_\infty \rangle_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0$$

so that $\|w\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|v\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \lambda^2 \|u_\infty\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Here, v is a radially symmetric function, since u_∞ and u_c are radially symmetric. Thus, by Lemma 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned} d\|v\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{L}v \cdot v \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{L}w \cdot w \, dx - 2\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{L}u_\infty \cdot v \, dx - \lambda^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{L}u_\infty \cdot u_\infty \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.5) and $\langle u_\infty, v \rangle_{H^1} = 0$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} d\|v\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{L}w \cdot w \, dx + 2\lambda(p-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta + 1)u_\infty \cdot v \, dx \\ &\quad + \lambda^2(p-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta + 1)u_\infty \cdot u_\infty \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{L}w \cdot w \, dx + \lambda^2(p-2)\|u_\infty\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows from (4.11) and Cauchy-Schwartz that

$$\begin{aligned} d\|w\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= d\left(\|v\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \lambda^2\|u_\infty\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) \\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{L}w \cdot w \, dx + \lambda^2(p-1)\|u_\infty\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\right)\|w\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + O\left(\|w\|^3_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) + O(\lambda^2) \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{c^4}\right) + \frac{d}{4}\|w\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + O\left(\|w\|^3_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) + O(\lambda^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $w \to 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $c \to \infty$, it implies that

$$\|w\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = O\left(\frac{1}{c^4}\right) + O(\lambda^2). \tag{4.12}$$

It remains to estimate λ. Since $u_c = (1+\lambda)u_\infty + v$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u_c + u_c - \mathcal{N}(u_c) &= (1+\lambda)(-\Delta u_\infty + u_\infty - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty)) \\ &\quad - \Delta v + v + (1+\lambda)\mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}(u_c) \\ &= -\Delta v + v + (1+\lambda)\mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}(u_c). \end{aligned}$$

In order to get rid of $-\Delta v + v$, we take the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$-inner product of the equation against u_∞, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta u_c + u_c - \mathcal{N}(u_c)) \cdot u_\infty \, dx \\
&= (1+\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) \cdot u_\infty \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{N}(u_c) \cdot u_\infty \, dx \\
&= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) \cdot u_\infty \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}(u_c)) \cdot u_\infty \, dx \\
&= \lambda \|u_\infty\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}(u_c)) \cdot u_\infty \, dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last identity, we used the equation for u_∞. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda \|u_\infty\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta u_c + u_c - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty)) \cdot u_\infty \, dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big\{ (-\Delta + 1 - P_c(D)) u_c + (P_c(D) u_c - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty)) \Big\} \cdot u_\infty \, dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big\{ (-\Delta + 1 - P_c(D)) u_c + (\mathcal{N}(u_c) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty)) \Big\} \cdot u_\infty \, dx \qquad (4.13) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta + 1 - P_c(D)) u_c \cdot u_\infty \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty) w \cdot u_\infty \, dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big(\mathcal{N}(u_c) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty) w \big) \cdot u_\infty \, dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the third identity, we used the equation for u_c. For the first integral in (4.13), we apply (4.6) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta + 1 - P_c(D)) u_c \cdot u_\infty \, dx \\
&\le \big\| (-\Delta + 1 - P_c(D)) u_c \big\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u_\infty\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{c^2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For the second integral, we use (4.5) and orthogonality of u_∞ and v,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty) w \cdot u_\infty \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty) u_\infty \cdot w \, dx = (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) \cdot w \, dx \\
&= (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta + 1) u_\infty \cdot (\lambda u_\infty + v) \, dx \\
&= \lambda (p-1) \|u_\infty\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality and (4.8), the last integral in (4.13) is bounded by

$$\big\| \mathcal{N}(u_c) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}'(u_\infty) w \big\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u_\infty\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = O\left(\|w\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right).$$

Collecting all, we prove that

$$\lambda(p-2)\|u_\infty\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = O\left(\|w\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\right)$$
$$\Rightarrow \lambda = O\left(\|w\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\right).$$

Finally, going back to (4.12), we conclude that

$$\|w\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = O\left(\frac{1}{c^4}\right) + O\left(\|w\|^4_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) \Rightarrow \|w\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\right).$$

□

Proposition 4.3 (Optimality of Proposition 4.1)**.** *There exists a constant $B > 0$ such that*

$$\|u_c - u_\infty\|_{H^1} \geq \frac{B}{c^2} \tag{4.14}$$

for any $c > 1$ large enough.

Proof. Let $w = u_c - u_\infty$ and we write (4.2) as

$$\left[\sqrt{-c^2\Delta + \frac{c^4}{4}} - \frac{c^2}{2}\right](u_\infty + w) + (u_\infty + w) = \mathcal{N}(u_\infty + w).$$

Rearranging this with (4.1), we find that

$$\left[\sqrt{-c^2\Delta + \frac{c^4}{4}} - \frac{c^2}{2}\right](w) + w + \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty + w)$$
$$= -\Delta u_\infty - \left[\sqrt{-c^2\Delta + \frac{c^4}{4}} - \frac{c^2}{2}\right](u_\infty).$$

Multiplying both sides by u_∞ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^n$, we get

$$\mathcal{A} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_\infty|^2 - u_\infty(x)\left[\sqrt{-c^2\Delta + \frac{c^4}{4}} - \frac{c^2}{2}\right](u_\infty)dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\left[\sqrt{-c^2\Delta + \frac{c^4}{4}} - \frac{c^2}{2}\right](w) + w + \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty + w)\right)u_\infty(x)dx := \mathcal{B}.$$

We now proceed to obtain upper and lower bounds in the above for the proof. First, we shall find a lower bound of A. By the Plancherel theorem,

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{u_\infty}(\xi)^2 \left[\xi^2 - \left(\sqrt{c^2\xi^2 + \frac{c^4}{4}} - \frac{c^2}{2}\right)\right] d\xi.$$

Notice that for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\xi^2 - \left(\sqrt{c^2\xi^2 + \frac{c^4}{4}} - \frac{c^2}{2}\right) = \xi^2 - \frac{c^2\xi^2}{\sqrt{c^2\xi^2 + \frac{c^4}{4}} + \frac{c^2}{2}} \geq 0. \tag{4.15}$$

In addition, a Taylor expansion shows that for $|\xi| \leq 1$,

$$\xi^2 - \sqrt{c^2\xi^2 + \frac{c^4}{4}} - \frac{c^2}{2} = \frac{2\xi^4}{c^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{c^4}\right) \geq \frac{\xi^4}{c^2}$$

provided $c > 1$ is large enough. Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{A} \gtrsim \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{|\xi|\leq 1} |\xi|^4 \, \widehat{u_\infty}(\xi)^2 \, d\xi. \tag{4.16}$$

On the other hand, using the estimates in the proof of Proposition 4.1 and (4.15), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big(\mathcal{N}(u_\infty) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty + w)\Big) u_\infty(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} w(x) \left[1 + \sqrt{-c^2\Delta + \frac{c^4}{4}} - \frac{c^2}{2}\right] u_\infty(x) dx \\ &\leq C\|w\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left(\|w\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u_\infty\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)^{p-1} + C\|w\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|u_\infty\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining this estimate with (4.16), we finally get

$$\|w\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \geq \frac{B}{c^2}$$

with a constant $D > 0$ independent of large $c > 1$. The proof is finished. □

5. High Sobolev norm convergence from a low Sobolev norm convergence

We prove that the convergence of the pseudo-relativistic ground state in a low Sobolev norm implies that in a high Sobolev norm.

Proposition 5.1 (High Sobolev norm convergence from a low Sobolev norm convergence)**.**

$$\|u_c - u_\infty\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = O(\|u_c - u_\infty\|_{H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}) + O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\right), \quad \forall s \geq \tfrac{1}{2}. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. **Step 1. (Setup of the proof)** Using the equations for u_c and u_∞, we decompose the difference $u_c - u_\infty$ into the two parts:

$$\begin{aligned} u_c - u_\infty &= P_c(D)^{-1}\mathcal{N}(u_c) - (1-\Delta)^{-1}\mathcal{N}(u_\infty) \\ &= P_c(D)^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{N}(u_c) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty)\Big) + \Big(P_c(D)^{-1} - (1-\Delta)^{-1}\Big)\mathcal{N}(u_\infty) \\ &= P_c(D)^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{N}(u_c) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty)\Big) + \Big(P_c(D)^{-1} - (1-\Delta)^{-1}\Big)(1-\Delta)u_\infty \\ &= P_c(D)^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{N}(u_c) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty)\Big) + \Big(\frac{1-\Delta}{P_c(D)} - 1\Big)u_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the first term $P_c(D)^{-1}(\mathcal{N}(u_c) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty))$, which is the main term, has lower order (in differentiability) than $u_c - u_\infty$. We will use this fact to upgrade the regularity. The remainder $(\frac{1-\Delta}{P_c(D)} - 1)u_\infty$ is easier to deal with, since the ground state u_∞ is a well-known nice function.

Let $\{s_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be the sequence, given in Proposition 2.1 or in Proposition 2.3, depending on the nonlinearity such that $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $s_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. Then, by the triangle inequality and Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_c - u_\infty\|_{H^{s_{k+1}}} &\le \Big\|P_c(D)^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{N}(u_c) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty)\Big)\Big\|_{H^{s_{k+1}}} + \Big\|\Big(\frac{1-\Delta}{P_c(D)} - 1\Big)u_\infty\Big\|_{H^{s_{k+1}}} \\ &\lesssim \big\|\mathcal{N}(u_c) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty)\big\|_{H^{s_{k+1}-1}} + \Big\|\Big(\frac{1-\Delta}{P_c(D)} - 1\Big)u_\infty\Big\|_{H^{s_{k+1}}} \\ &=: I + II.\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. (Estimate on I) For the Hartree nonlinearity, we write

$$\mathcal{N}(u_c) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) = \Big(\frac{1}{|x|} * (u_c + u_\infty)(u_c - u_\infty)\Big)u_c + \Big(\frac{1}{|x|} * u_\infty^2\Big)(u_c - u_\infty).$$

Then, by Proposition 2.1 and 3.2,

$$I \lesssim \Big(\|u_c\|_{H^{s_k}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u_\infty\|_{H^{s_k}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^2 \|u_c - u_\infty\|_{H^{s_k}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u_c - u_\infty\|_{H^{s_k}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For the integer-power nonlinearity, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}(u_c) - \mathcal{N}(u_\infty) &= u_c^{p-1} - u_\infty^{p-1} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-2} \frac{(p-1)!}{(\ell+1)!(p-2-\ell)!} u_c^{p-2-\ell}(u_c - u_\infty)^{\ell+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, by Proposition 2.3 and 3.2,

$$I \lesssim \Big(\|u_c\|_{H^{s_k}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u_\infty\|_{H^{s_k}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{p-2} \|u_c - u_\infty\|_{H^{s_k}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u_c - u_\infty\|_{H^{s_k}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Step 3. (Estimate on II) We observe that if $|\xi| \le \frac{c}{10}$, by the Taylor's theorem,

$$\left|\frac{1+|\xi|^2}{P_c(\xi)} - 1\right| = \left|\frac{1+|\xi|^2 - P_c(\xi)}{P_c(\xi)}\right| \lesssim \frac{\frac{|\xi|^4}{c^2}}{\langle\xi\rangle^2} \le \frac{|\xi|^2}{c^2},$$

but otherwise, by Lemma 3.3,

$$\left|\frac{1+|\xi|^2}{P_c(\xi)} - 1\right| \lesssim \langle\xi\rangle \lesssim \frac{|\xi|^3}{c^2}.$$

Thus,

$$II \lesssim \frac{1}{c^2}\|u_\infty\|_{H^{s_k+3}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Step 4. (Conclusion) In summary, we proved that

$$\|u_c - u_\infty\|_{H^{s_{k+1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = O\left(\|u_c - u_\infty\|_{H^{s_k}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\right).$$

Hence, iterating from $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain (5.1) for all $s = s_k$. Therefore, by interpolation, we conclude that (5.1) holds for all $s \ge \frac{1}{2}$. □

Appendix A. Lorentz spaces

For $1 \le p, q \le \infty$, we define the *Lorentz norm*

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \begin{cases} \Big\{ p \displaystyle\int_0^\infty \lambda^{q-1} \big|\{x : |f(x)| \ge \lambda\}\big|^{\frac{q}{p}} d\lambda \Big\}^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{when } 1 \le p, q < \infty, \\ \displaystyle\sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \big|\{x : |f(x)| \ge \lambda\}\big|^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{when } 1 \le p < \infty \text{ and } q = \infty, \\ \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} & \text{when } p = q = \infty. \end{cases} \tag{A.1}$$

We define the *Lorentz space* $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as the space of all functions having finite $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$-norm. By definition, $L^{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the weak $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$-space.

We summarize the basic properties of the Lorentz spaces as follows.

Proposition A.1. *(i) (Inclusion) The embedding $L^{p,q_1} \hookrightarrow L^{p,q_2}$ is continuous if $q_1 < q_2$. (ii) (Hölder inequality) If $1 \le p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$, $1 \le q, q_1, q_2 \le \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}$, then*

$$\|fg\|_{L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{A.2}$$

(iii) (Dual characterization) If $1 \le p, q \le \infty$, then

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \sup_{\|g\|_{L^{p',q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)\overline{g(x)} dx. \tag{A.3}$$

The Lorentz spaces are particularly useful to interpolate boundedness of an operator, since its original definition stems from interpolation of the Lebesgue spaces.

Proposition A.2 (Marcinkeiwicz interpolation)**.** *Let $1 \le p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \le \infty$. Suppose that T is sublinear, i.e.,*

$$|T(cf)| \le |c||Tf| \quad \text{and } |T(f+g)| \le |Tf| + |Tg|, \tag{A.4}$$

and that

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{q_i,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_i,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1. \tag{A.5}$$

Then, for any $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 \le r < \infty$, we have

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{q_\theta,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_\theta,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{A.6}$$

where $\frac{1}{p_\theta} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$ and $\frac{1}{q_\theta} = \frac{1-\theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1}$.

As a consequence, we obtain the fractional integration inequality, equivalently Sobolev inequality, in the Lorentz spaces.

Proposition A.3 (Fractional integration inequality)**.** *(i) If $1 < p, q < \infty$, $1 \le r < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{s}{n}$, then*

$$\Big\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-s}} dy \Big\|_{L^{q,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{A.7}$$

(*ii*) *(Endpoint cases)*

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-s}} dy \Big\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-s},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \\ \Big\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-s}} dy \Big\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\frac{n}{s},1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

Proof. By the Hölder inequality, we prove the second inequality in the endpoint cases,

$$\Big\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-s}} dy \Big\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \Big\| \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-s}} \Big\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-s},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{n}{s},1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\frac{n}{s},1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{A.9}$$

Then, the first endpoint case inequality follows from the dual characterization and (*i*) follows from the interpolation theorem. □

Appendix B. Fractional Leibniz rule

The fractional Leibniz rule is a useful tool in estimating nonlinear terms.

Theorem B.1 (Fractional Leibniz rule [6])**.** *Suppose that* $s > 0$, $1 < r, q_1, p_2 < \infty$, $1 < p_1, q_2 \le \infty$ *and* $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{q_2}$. *Then,*

$$\||\nabla|^s (fg)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \||\nabla|^s g\|_{L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \||\nabla|^s f\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Remark B.2. Theorem B.1 is a simple extension of the original version in Chirst-Weinstein [6, Proposition 3.3], where it is assumed that $n = 1$, $0 < s < 1$ and $1 < p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2 < \infty$. Indeed, their proof works for all $n \ge 1$ and $s > 0$ with no change. Furthermore, one can include the case $p_1 = q_2 = \infty$ using the boundedness of the maximal function, $\|Mf\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty}$, in the very last step of the proof.

References

[1] P. Bechouche, N. J. Mauser, S. Selberg, Nonrelativistic limit of Klein-Gordon-Maxwell to Schrödinger-Poisson. Amer. J. Math. **126** (2004), no. 1, 31–64.

[2] P. Bechouche, N. J. Mauser, S. Selberg, On the asymptotic analysis of the Dirac-Maxwell system in the nonrelativistic limit. J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. **2** (2005), no. 1, 129–182.

[3] H. Berestycki, P.-L. Lions, Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **82** (1983), no. 4, 313–345.

[4] R. Carles, W. Lucha, E. Moulay, Higher-order Schrödinger and Hartree-Fock equations. J. Math. Phys. **56** (2015), no. 12, 122301, 17 pp.

[5] W. Choi, J. Seok, Nonrelativistic limit of standing waves for pseudo-relativistic nonlinear Schrödinger equations. J. Math. Phys. **57** (2016), no. 2, 021510, 15 pp.

[6] F. M. Christ, M. I. Weinstein, Dispersion of small amplitude solutions of the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation. J. Funct. Anal. **100** (1991), no. 1, 87-109.

[7] V. Coti-Zelati, M. Nolasco, Existence of ground states for nonlinear, pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger equations. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. **22** (2011), 51–72.

[8] A. Elgart, B. Schlein, Mean field dynamics of boson stars, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **60** (2007), 500–545.

[9] M. J. Esteban, E. Séré, E. Nonrelativistic limit of the Dirac-Fock equations. Ann. Henri Poincaré 2 (2001), no. 5, 941–961.

[10] J. Fröhlich, B. L. G. Jonsson, E. Lenzmann, Boson stars as solitary waves. Comm. Math. Phys. **274** (2007), no. 1, 1–30.

[11] J. Fröhlich, E. Lenzmann, Dynamical collapse of white dwarfs in Hartree- and Hartree-Fock theory. Comm. Math. Phys. **274** (2007), no. 3, 737–750.

[12] J. Fröhlich, E. Lenzmann, Blowup for nonlinear wave equations describing boson stars. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **60** (2007), no. 11, 1691–1705.

[13] S. Herr, E. Lenzmann, The Boson star equation with initial data of low regularity. Nonlinear Anal. **97** (2014), 125–137.

[14] A. Knowles, P. Pickl, Mean-field dynamics: singular potentials and rate of convergence. Comm. Math. Phys. **298** (2010), no. 1, 101–138.

[15] M. K. Kwong, Uniqueness of positive solutions of $\Delta u - u + u^p = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **105** (1989), 243–266.

[16] J. O. Lee, Rate of convergence towards semi-relativistic Hartree dynamics. Ann. Henri Poincaré **14** (2013), no. 2, 313–346.

[17] E. Lenzmann, Well-posedness for semi-relativistic Hartree equations of critical type. Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **10** (2007), no. 1, 43–64.

[18] E. Lenzmann, Uniqueness of ground states for pseudo-relativistic Hartree equations. Anal. PDE **2** (2009), 1–27.

[19] E. Lenzmann, M. Lewin, On singularity formation for the L^2-critical Boson star equation. Nonlinearity **24** (2011), no. 12, 3515–3540.

[20] E. H. Lieb, Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard's nonlinear equation. Studies in Appl. Math. **57** (1976/77), no. 2, 93-105.

[21] E. H. Lieb, W. E. Thirring, Gravitational collapse in quantum mechanics with relativistic kinetic energy. Ann. Physics **155** (1984), 494-512.

[22] E. H. Lieb, H. T. Yau, The Chandrasekhar theory of stellar collapse as the limit of quantum mechanics. Comm. Math. Phys. **112** (1987), 147–174.

[23] P. L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. I. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linaire 1 (1984), 109–145.

[24] S. Machihara, K. Nakanishi, T. Ozawa, Nonrelativistic limit in the energy space for nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations. Math. Ann. **322** (2002), 603–621.

[25] S. Machihara, K. Nakanishi, T. Ozawa, Small global solutions and the nonrelativistic limit for the nonlinear Dirac equation. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **19** (2003), no. 1, 179–194.

[26] N. Masmoudi, K. Nakanishi, From nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation to a system of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Math. Ann. **324** (2002), 359–389.

[27] N. Masmoudi, K. Nakanishi, Nonrelativistic limit from Maxwell-Klein-Gordon and Maxwell-Dirac to Poisson-Schrdinger. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2003, no. 13, 697–734.

[28] N. Masmoudi, K. Nakanishi, Energy convergence for singular limits of Zakharov type systems. Invent. Math. **172** (2008), no. 3, 535–583.

[29] N. Masmoudi, K. Nakanishi, From the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system to a singular nonlinear Schrdinger system. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **27** (2010), no. 4, 1073–1096.

[30] D. Mugnai, Pseudorelativistic Hartree equation with general nonlinearity: existence, non-existence and variational identities, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **13** (2013), 799–823.

[31] K. Nakanishi, Nonrelativistic limit of scattering theory for nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations. J. Differential Equations 180 (2002), no. 2, 453–470.

[32] F. Pusateri, Modified scattering for the boson star equation. Comm. Math. Phys. **332** (2014), no. 3, 1203–1234.

[33] E. E. Salpeter, H. A. Bethe, A Relativistic Equation for Bound-State Problems, Phys. Rev. **84** (1951), no. 6, 1232

[34] J. Tan, Y. Wang, J. Yang, Nonlinear fractional field equations. Nonlinear Anal. **75** (2012), 2098–2110.
[35] M. Tsutsumi, Nonrelativistic approximation of nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations in two space dimensions. Nonlinear Anal. **8** (1984), 637–643.
wave propagation, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **12** (1987), 1133–1173.

W. Choi, School of Mathematics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 02455, Korea
E-mail address: wchoi@kias.re.kr

Y. Hong, Department of mathematics, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, Korea
E-mail address: younghun.hong@yonsei.ac.kr

J. Seok, Department of Mathematics, Kyonggi University, Suwon 16227, Korea
E-mail address: jmseok@kgu.ac.kr